

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
ENTERED

SEP 10 1998

EXXON CORPORATION and EXXON
CHEMICAL PATENTS, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MOBIL OIL CORPORATION and
MOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY INC.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Michael N. Milby, Clerk

CIVIL ACTION NO. H-96-3795

(JURY REQUESTED)

FINAL JUDGMENT

On August 11, 1998, the Jury returned its verdict on the Court's written questions.

On the basis of the Jury's findings, the evidence at trial, the findings of this Court and the applicable law

It is ORDERED as follows:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and of the parties. Venue is proper. Each plaintiff has standing.

2. U.S. Patent No. 5,324,800 issued June 28, 1994 (the "'800 patent").

3. Mobil did not prove the '800 patent is invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a), (b), or (f), nor that it would have been obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103, nor that it failed to meet the requirements of § 112.

4. Mobil did not prove that the '800 patent is unenforceable nor that Dr. Welborn, a co-inventor, Mr. Kurtzman, the patent attorney, nor any other individual

251

associated with the filing or prosecution of the applications for the '800 patent committed inequitable conduct in obtaining that patent.

5. The 1981 Külper *diplomarbeit* is not a “printed publication” in terms of 35 U.S.C. § 102.

6. Mobil has directly infringed claims 1, 25, and 28 of the '800 patent by the manufacture, use and sale of the products listed on Ex. A hereto.

7. Mobil's processes for polymerizing olefins using the products identified on Ex. A infringe claim 3 of the '800 patent.

8. Mobil shall pay Exxon the sum of \$171,000,000.00 together with pre- and post-judgment interest as damages for Mobil's infringement of the '800 patent.

9. Mobil is permanently enjoined from infringing the '800 patent as set out in the accompanying Permanent Injunction Order, and shall obey all terms of that Order.

10. Mobil's First Amended Answer and Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaim to First Amended Complaint seeking certain declaratory relief are dismissed with prejudice.

11. The Court finds this case “exceptional” under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

12. Accordingly, as a prevailing party, Exxon is awarded its costs in the amount of T.B.D. and attorneys' fees in the amount of T.B.D.


JUDGE KENNETH M. HOYT

EXHIBIT A

Mobil's Catalysts

1. MAC-1;
2. MAC-2;
3. MAC-9E;
4. MAC-4;
5. MAXIS (bimetallic); and
6. any other Mobil catalyst made by combining a substituted metallocene within the formulae in the '800 patent and an alumoxane;

regardless of whether any of the above listed and described catalysts are prepared using the experimental, dry impregnation or wet impregnation method.